

1. There are no absolute truths.
2. #1 is absolutely true.

I love a conundrum!

OK, we in science know that nature reveals patterns and laws that can often be articulated in mathematically precise ways; patterns and laws that hold true throughout the universe.

This negates the perspectivist spin that there are no universal truths, just things we arrive at by transcendental consensus. However, I do find the (ahem) fact that so much of what we do see, agree on, etc. is consensus reflective of shared, emergent perceptions originating in an evolutionary conserved neuro-architecture.

We all see this reality and enjoy its fruits.

But for all the preciseness of the language and mathematics we use to determine, gage, define, and then bring these shared perceptions into sharper focus, we are left with a sense of "aloneness"; of wanting to connect with "the other" and see the world through their neuro-net; to enjoy the variations unique in their perception. We cannot shake the notion or conviction that there is "more" than what we seem to all see, measure or arrive at through logic and reasoning.

This gives rise to and/or subsumes the spiritual impulse, yes, and its myriad forms of expression. And Plato's depiction of reality as shadows on the wall of that damn cave! And these spins help make explicable some aspects of the quest for "the other"; for meaningful connectedness.

But there seems to me to be more there; more beyond these veils. Our sci-fi writers and "pontificators" touch on with the idea of "mind melds" and computer-human interfacing. But there is surely more.

There is achieving a meaningful connectedness on a more subtle and smaller scale -- twixt human and microbes and microbial communities -- then moving up macroscopically to certain intelligent animals like cetaceans -- and beyond. But how to connect? Not mysticism, as even if one could "break through" there is the matter of replicating the methodology. And therein lies the crux of making this deep felt need or quest one that becomes both meaningful and shared:

Methodology.

Plugging the human sensory system and neuro-net into one or more natural communities either in succession or simultaneously. This may involve a biophysiochemical interface -- a nature to language and language to nature interactive system -- albeit language is admittedly both liberating and limiting -- or some more direct conversion and transfer of information.

This is certainly a fun thing to mull over and conceptualize solutions to, yes?
Any actual technology that can pull this off - even partially -- will be truly revolutionary

Dr. A.G.Payne